## SOUTH BRISTOL TOWN BOARD MEETING December 12, 2016

### **REGULAR MEETING**

The regular meeting of the South Bristol Town Board as called to order December 12, 2016 at 7:02pm at the South Bristol Town Hall, 6500 W Gannett Hill Road, Naples, NY 14512.

### **PRESENT**

Daniel Marshall, Supervisor Donna Goodwin, Councilwoman Stephen Cowley, Councilman Jim Strickland, Councilman Scott Wohlschlegel, Councilman

### RECORDING SECRETARY

Judy Voss, Town Clerk

### **OTHERS**

Kathy Spencer, Mark Tyrien, Frank Sciramammano, Ashley Champion, Laura Cook, Bernice Caprini, Beth Hickman, Brian & Dolores Perkins, Wade & Jocelyn Sarkis, Dahl Schultz, Peter Rees, Jim Bachman, Ralph Endres, Ann Jacobs, Melanie Eisenberg, Alan & Kristie Braun, Ralph Endres, Joe Kohler, Ted & Gina Russell, David & Gail Hewson, Beth Uhlen, Kevin & Cathy McWilliams, Sue Blake et ak

### I. ROLL CALL

Supr. Marshall opened the meeting with roll call.

### II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

### III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

On a motion made by Councilman Wohlschlegel and seconded by Councilwoman Goodwin November 14, 2016 Regular Town Board meeting minutes, Public Hearing minutes for the 2017 Budget and Public Hearing minutes for Local Law #3-2016 were ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

### IV. PRIVILEGE OF THE FLOOR

Ralph Endres, of Bristol Harbor, said at last month's meeting when we got the letter from Megel, Metzger & Barr your statement was you didn't know what to do about getting the information on the sewerage and where the money went; the \$360,000 that is missing. Mr. Endres read from his letter:

On November 23, 2016 I talked with Sr. Inv. Chris Baldwin of the NYS Police Canandaigua NY about the Bristol Sewerage Disposal Corporation and asset on the fiscal 2013 financial statement entitled "Due from Subsidiaries and Affiliates" which exceeded \$360,000 during the fischal year ended December 31, 2013. He was advised that the Town of South Bristol had engaged LaBella Associates D.P.C. the Town's Engineer, who in turn, engaged Mengel, Metzge & Barr for a forensic review of the accounting for the Bristol Sewerage Corporation. The forensic review covered two separate time periods, 2015 and earlier ("Prior

December 12, 2016

ownership group") and January 2016 to present, effective on the sale of the sewer corp. to the new owners ("Current Ownership Group"), during 2016.

I requested that Sr. Inv. Baldwin adopt a criminal case with me as the complainant as I am a customer of the Bristol Sewerage Corporation maintaining a residents at 5590 Lochcrest Circle, Canandaigua, NY that is served by said corporation.

I voiced my concern that the Town of South Bristol was unable to get the financial information to which they are entitled, for the fiscal years 2014 and 2015.

The Town of South Bristol has a fiduciary responsibility to the 350 town residents who are served by the Bristol Sewerage Corporation a NYS Transportation Corporation.

Mengel, Metzger & Barr have reviewed the purchase and sale agreement of the sewer corp., the "due from Subsidiaries and Affiliates" account was no longer reported on the consolidated independent accounts report for the prior Ownership Group. The current ownership does not have a "due from Subsidiaries and Affiliates" including any possible derivative names on its books and records.

In conclusion, \$360,000 that was carried on the books of the Bristol Sewerage Corporation through 2013 has disappeared from the books and I was concerned that a potential criminal act had occurred and wants to commence a proceeding against the former owners of the Sewerage Corporation before the Statute of Limitations occurred. Sr. Inv. Baldwin advised that he believed that the Supervisor of the Town of South Bristol should be the complainant as by law the Town has a fiduciary responsibility to the residents in this matter.

He further stated that he was waiting for a call from the Supervisor of the Town of South Bristol to start action in this matter. He said that after the complaint was made he would meet with the Ontario County District Attorney to go over the details of the investigation and to get direction on how he wished to proceed.

Mr. Endres told Supr. Marshall that the ball is in his court. You have two choices, go to the State Police and hopefully the District Attorney can get to the bottom of this, or the Town can be the object of numerous lawsuits of which, there are 350 residents that have been swindled out of this money. You could possible face 350 lawsuits, the Town of South Bristol.

Supr. Marshall asked for Inv. Baldwin's contact information and said he will speak with the Town attorney first and then give him a call.

## V. COMMITTEE REPORTS: HIGHWAY

Councilman Cowley read the highway report: Winter has finally shown up. We have been quite busy plowing for the past 3 weeks (13 recorded plow days so far). In addition to plowing, we have been working on an addition to the fuel shed. This will provide storage for 3 to 4 pieces of equipment: Our big roadside mowing tractors and the Kubota excavator in particular. We also have mowed the fields around the Wilder barn on Route 64 and below the overlook on County Road 12. As winter progresses, on nice days we will be busy trimming trees.

#### VI. OLD BUSINESS:

### EVERWILDE – SEIS REVIEW WITH KATHY SPENCER FROM LABELLA

Supr. Marshall introduced Kathy Spencer, of Labella Assoc., a SEQR specialist and will guide the Board this evening with the SEIS.

Ms. Spencer handed out a list of the topics to discuss tonight. The Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) was formerly submitted to the Town Board and you all

December 12, 2016

received a copy at the end of last month. The question to be answered now that the SEIS is in hand; Is the Draft SEIS adequate to be released for public review and comment? Ms. Spencer said behind that there are a lot of things we could discuss and would like to touch on some topics that were touched on last January when she first met with the Board and to put this question in context so that you understand that on the face of it is not quite as simple but there is some guidance we can give you so you can make a decision.

Ms. Spencer introduced Mark Tyrien who started with the project when it was with the Planning Board stage. Ms. Spencer noted that Mr. Tyrien is behind the scenes on her work all the time and has been involved with project and will be involved through the FEIS.

Ms. Spencer explained the process so far: the DEIS that was a positive declaration went out in the spring of 2015; early fall of 2015 the DEIS was submitted and accepted by the previous Town Board. We were preparing to go the FEIS statement preparation through the fall, after getting through the hearing the public comment period. At that point, the Project Sponsor for Everwilde was involved acquiring Bristol Harbor which also came along with the water and sewer infrastructure and as that unfolded and into the spring 2016 we talked about a change in circumstance and required SEIS. In August 2016 our decisions with Mary Jo Korona here was yes, but let's keep it very focused to the two issues, the changes to the hookup to the water and sewer, and the fact that there is now possibly an alternative site owned and controlled by the Project Sponsor.

Ms. Spencer continued, stating that before talking about the SEIS, she wanted to talk about where we were with the FEIS because that is going to be our next step and feeds into your decision.

As you know, the Draft of any EIS, a regular or supplemental, is prepared by the Project Sponsor. It is their project information, their statement of goals, and objectives for the project. The FEIS is a document whose responsibility rests with the Town Board. That is your document and you have been trying to walk this path to get to the point where the Town Board is preparing with LaBella's help, this FEIS. At that time, there will be a lot of back and forth and will be submitting to you drafts, you will be commenting and I'll try to incorporate the concerns and views into the FEIS. How that works in practice is essentially the FEIS is supposed to be an objective factual technical document that sets the basis for decision making here on out; by your Board, by any other involved agency. What we sometimes see in a FEIS is the Project Sponsor has provided "this amount" of information about the certain issue, however the Town Board has also recognized that there is concerns or they are recognizing as an alternate point of view about the possible impacts with regard to that issue. It is a document that allows you to present multiple points of view, to present a less biased reading or analysis of impacts and it definitely incorporates the Town's version of events, so to speak, into the FEIS. That is where we are trying to get to. It is also a different format of a document; it is not the document you've seen where we say "here is what the project is, now we'll go through each impact category and talk about existing conditions, impacts, mitigation;" it is not a re-write of that document. Essentially, the Town's point of view and the Town's analysis of concerns is undertaken in a format where we are responding to the comments that the public and the agencies have made. It is a comment/response format and that is where we can present these multiple points of view. We lay it all out because the FEIS will then feed into your decision making on the project. The FEIS is not reflective of your "yeah" or "nay" on the project. It is a statement, a basis of facts and then we go into what follows which is the Finding Statement which then you have some rational for your decision making. There might be some approval conditions in the findings; that leads

December 12, 2016

directly into your decision. When there are comments made on this SEIS we are going to add those to the body of comments that have been made on the DEIS and answer them all in one FEIS. Once we get the body of comments on the SEIS, one document will contain everything all together in one FEIS. The last thing to say, is often the case that the Project Sponsor is allowed the opportunity to prepare the initial draft of the FEIS. The reason it works, as it is quite practical, they have they technical information and any information about re-design, or existing design. The Project Sponsor has that kind of detail, they put it together and then it is re-writing, re-editing between LaBella and the Town Board to get that to the level where the Town Board's analysis is put forth in the final document.

Ms. Spencer continued, and asked the Board their thoughts on the SEIS; are we happy with it, and is it adequate to be sent to the public for review? In the SEQR regulations they really don't offer 3 or 4 criteria or standards against which we can measure, but there is some general guidance that is very helpful. The Lead Agency should ensure that all relevant information has been presented and analyzed, but should never expect nor require a perfect or exhaustive document. The DEIS or draft SEIS should identify and discuss significant environmental issues related to the action, however the draft EIS will not necessarily provide a final resolution of any issues. Since one of the major purposes of a draft EIS is to give the public an opportunity to comment on the environmental issues that are raised as well as the possible alternatives and mitigation measures offered to address those issues settling on a resolution of one or more issues prior to public review would actually be counter to the intent of SEQR.

This puts your tasks into a framework; you are looking at this document and asking yourself Is there enough information here that it's time to let the public chime in. It is helpful to step back and take a philosophical look at what we are doing here. You, the Town Board, as Lead Agency, you have to write this final evaluation of the impacts associated with this action and how do you get there? You certainly don't want to write a final evaluation without hearing from the public and without hearing from agencies. You also need a vehicle to get the information in the first place, so the draft EIS and draft SEIS is that vehicle. Once you get all that information you are ready to put together that FEIS. The question before you today is In the SEIS you have before you, is there enough information that you feel you are at a point where you can allow the public and agencies to comment before writing the FEIS? Remembering, when you write that FEIS with LaBella's help, you are going to have the leeway to write and to voice any concern or opinion that needs to be voiced. You don't necessarily agree with what's in the SEIS; you just have to accept it as the next step which is the document that goes to the public for their comment so you have a full plate to work with when we write the FEIS. At this time, you the Board decide whether you are comfortable with the content and adequacy of the document and talk about the next steps. Or you are going to say that you need to see more of this or that and in that case you are required under SEQR regulations to provide a written list of deficiencies to the Project Sponsor so they can get back to you.

Supr. Marshall noted that the intent was to get more information for the Board and ultimately the public with regards to the connection to the sewer facility to the proposed project and also to address the issue of viable alternative locations. Supr. Marshall said he may not agree with everything that is in the draft SEIS but the work has obviously been well done. There appears, with regard to the Sewer Corporation, multiple options on how to calculate properly, the flows and rates, etc. Looking at all of them it kind of gives you a better picture. Supr. Marshall asked Mr. Sciramammano, on page 10 under "b" the second paragraph, *The existing potable* 

December 12, 2016

water treatment plant uses filtration and chlorination. The filtration capacity is 425 per hour which equates to 612,000 gallons per day.

Mr. Sciramammano said that should read 425 per minute and will check that.

Supr. Marshall said he read the SEIS twice and consider it to have addressed the issues that we're concerned with.

Councilman Cowley asked Mr. Sciramammano, the RBC is rated for 100,000 gallons a day? Mr. Sciramammano agreed, for both, yes, 50,000 gallons each. 31.07

Councilman Cowley asked that the treatment plant is only permitted for 65,000 gallons a day? Mr. Sciramammano agreed.

Councilman Cowley asked if the treatment plant could automatically go to 100,000 or only the release? Mr. Sciramammano said they would have to go back to the DEC, do an application and they would have to look at the stream, it is carrying its capacity and physically it could handle 100,000.

Councilman Cowley said the RBC's can but can the rest of the treatment plant, a 100,000 gallon/day plant? Mr. Sciramammano said that is what we are told, the permit limit is 65,000 so it has never gone up that high. Keep in mind, during the summer months, it is zero discharge. The plant still puts out but it all goes into the holding pond for golf course irrigation.

Councilwoman Goodwin noted that the SEIS adequately addresses the issues and did a great job and appreciate the work you put into it.

Councilman Wohlschlegel said the SEIS is thorough for what we were asking.

Supr. Marshall asked if the sewer plant would be able to handle the future expansion of Bristol Harbor itself, not just Everwilde, and from what he is reading here, there is an outside chance where the outflow rates would need to be raised with the DEC; but other than that it is still within capacity?

Mr. Sciramammano said we did three different calculations to project what that additional flow could be; one comes out low, one comes out high and they span the limits of 65,000. The recommendation in there is to monitor those flows as future growth occurs in Bristol Harbor and ask for an increase if it is needed. Mr. Sciramammano said we don't think it will be needed.

Supr. Marshall asked, if a sewer treatment facility were ever to go to the Everwilde side, are pump stations going to be required?

Mr. Sciramammano said pump stations will be required on the Everwilde side and would have to defer to Costich Engineering on that.

Supr. Marshall noted that the SEIS shows 5 different/alternate locations and two of them are located right on the cliff.

Mr. Sciramammano agreed, the other 3 alternate locations moved the entire facility and the one other is just the spa and the other is just the restaurant.

Supr. Marshall noted that one of the arguments is parking.

Mr. Sciramammano agreed. Once the public has had a chance to look at this, we can blow the maps up to better explain the different locations involved.

Councilman Cowley said that there is a pump station shown on the plan that pumps across the street.

December 12, 2016

Supr. Marshall said there is also reference the fact that the connection for sewer and water is principally going to remain on the Bristol Harbor property.

Mr. Sciramammano agreed, we just have to go across the road whereas under the old proposal the owner would have had to go along the road, cross and be on Bristol Harbor's property.

Supr. Marshall asked Ms. Spencer where to go from here? Ms. Spencer noted that they did prepare a draft resolution for the Board's consideration if the decision was that the Board felt the SEIS was adequate and ready for public review. The questions become, that decision needs to be made, some kind of resolution or motion to accept the draft SEIS as completed and for public review. Then we have to talk about the public comment period and what that will entail.

Supr. Marshall said it is his understanding that once the decision gets made the clock starts?

Ms. Spencer said you have 45 days to decide whether you want to accept the draft SEIS. The minimum comment period on any impact statement, including a supplemental, is 30 days. We all know the holidays are upon us and you decide this is adequate for public review, there is going to be some churning around for a couple of days to get the notices out, get the document out and publish the notice in the environmental notice bulletin and from the day those notices go out that is when the clock starts. For example, let's say that is December 15<sup>th</sup>, 30 days is January 13<sup>th</sup> or 14<sup>th</sup>, 2 weeks after the holidays maybe that is okay, but that definitely is your decision. You also have to decide whether to have a public hearing and there is some factors that we have written into the draft resolution that we have that give guidance when you are deciding on the public hearing.

Mr. Tyrien read from the regulation and the factors for determining whether or not to have a public hearing: The lead agency will consider the degree of interest in the action shown by the public or involved agencies, whether substantive or significant adverse environmental impacts have been identified, the adequacy of the mitigation measures and alternatives proposed and the extent to which a public hearing can aid the agency in decision making processes by providing a forum for or an efficient mechanism for the collection of public comment.

Mr. Tyrien noted that there is obviously a lot of public interest in this project and on the other hand there had been a public hearing and the range of issues being discussed in the SEIS is relatively narrow. A written comment period would certainly be adequate to collect the kind of input you are going to need but again there is a lot of public interest so there is an argument on both sides here. It is really up to the Board to decide. 42.00

Supr. Marshall agreed and said it is 2 issues that the Board needs to consider and the public comment period would be adequate and doesn't see the need to have another public hearing. Supr. Marshall said he would rather extend the comment period to 45 days which gives everyone more opportunity to comment. Many of the individuals concerns with this project are in Florida this time of the year and they have the right to submit their comments.

Councilmwoman Goodwin agreed.

Mr. Sciramammano said the comment period dates will be printed on the front cover of the document once the Board decides on the dates.

Supr. Marshall and the Board agreed the deadline for the comment period will be January 31, 2017.

December 12, 2016

Ms. Spencer asked about the collection of comments. Some of the comments were mailed to the Town Clerk and there was an email account set up specifically for the comment period.

Jim Bachman said that was set up as a mail tool and forwarded directly to LaBella; the Town Board did not see those comments.

Supr. Marshall noted that the contact person needs to be the Town Clerk.

Ms. Spencer emphasized the public comment period to get comments on the two issues only. If people reiterate the issues they sent their comments will be discarded. We all ready have all the comments and they are all organized; we are not going to respond again other issues other than what is in the SEIS to respond to. Once we get all the comments, we compile them and sent copies to all the Town Board members and after that we took the next step of organizing them into catagories and grouping them for response in the FEIS. Those steps will have to be taken through the process. During the comment period the Town Board members won't necessarily be seeing the comments unless you want to change that procedure.

Mr. Bachman said the whole thing was designed to be tamper-proof and LaBella had changed the password so the Town Board could not access it maintaining the integrity of the process.

Supr. Marshall and Ms. Spencer agreed.

Mr. Tyrien said he has a proposed resolution for the SEIS that he wrote for the Town Board to consider.

Supr. Marshall agreed, and read the resolution.

On a motion made by Councilman Strickland and seconded by Councilwoman Goodwin, Resolution #36 - 2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 36-2016**

# RESOLUTION ACCEPTING A SUPMITTED SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR THE PROPOSED EVERWILDE INN AND SPA PROJECT AS ADEQUATE WITH RESPECT TO ITS SCOPE AND CONTENT OR THE PURPOSE OF COMMENCING PUBLIC REVIEW AND ESTABLISHING A PERIOD FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of South Bristol, has previously been established as the State Environmental Quality Review (hereinafter, "SEQR") Lead Agency for purposes of conducting a coordinated review of the proposed Everwilde Inn & Spa project (hereinafter, "the proposed Project"); and

WHEREAS, The Town Board of the Town of South Bristol previously found that the proposed Project was one that may have a significant adverse impact on the environment and adopted, on June 8, 2015, a Positive Declaration, requiring the preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (hereinafter, "DEIS") relative to the proposed Project; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of South Bristol accepted, on September 9, 2015, the DEIS prepared by the sponsor of the proposed Project as adequate with respect to its scope and content for the purpose of commencing public review and did subsequently establish a comment period relative to the DEIS which include both a time for submission of written comments as well as the holding of a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Town Board's acceptance of the DEIS, the sponsor of the proposed Project acquired property interests such that it came to either own or control the

December 12, 2016

neighboring development known as Bristol Harbor including associated corporations and improvements presently providing both water and sanitary sewer service within the vicinity; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of South Bristol determined, on August 8, 2016, the need for preparation of a Supplemental DEIS as a consequence of changes to the proposed project as well as the emergence of newly discovered information and a change in circumstances related to the project; and

WHEREAS, the Sponsor of the proposed Project has, on November 30, 2016, submitted a proposed Supplemental DEIS focused primarily upon revised methods of providing water and sanitary sewer service to the proposed Project and additional alternatives related to sites within the sponsor's ownership and/or control.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Board of the Town of South Bristol does find the submitted Supplemental DEIS to be adequate with respect to its scope and content for the purpose of commencing public review; and be it further

RESOVLED, that a period for public comments shall be established relative to the Supplemental DEIS, to commence on December 15, 2016 and to end on January 31, 2017; and be it further

RESOLVED, that no public hearing shall be held given the narrow range of issues presented in the Supplemental DEIS, given the fact that both the manner of serving the project with water and sanitary sewer as well as the opportunity to utilize an alternative site at Bristol Harbor have been topics for previous comments, given the fact that neither the opportunity to connect to existing utilities and/or utilize alternative sites at Bristol Harbor appear to have led to the identification of substantive or significant environmental impacts different from those already identified in the DEIS, and given the fact that, when compared to the establishment of a written comment period alone, the public hearing would not provide a superior forum for, or more efficient mechanism for collection of, public comment that would aid the Town Board in decision-making; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk, be and hereby is, authorized to coordinate with the sponsor of the proposed Project and with Town consultants to ensure that the required SEQR Notice of Completion is filed as necessary and as specified in the SEQR regulations found at 6 NYCCR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review. 56.00

Mr. Sciramammano said he would first correct the typo in the document; second, would you like the date of the document in the footer to reflect the submission date or today's date?

Mr. Tyrien noted that the resolution indicates that it is referencing a document that you submitted on November 30<sup>th</sup> and that is the date that should be in the footer.

Mr. Sciramammano asked the Board for permission to take care of all the details between the parties?

Supr. Marshall and Councilwoman Goodwin agreed and thanked all the parties.

Mr. Baird Couch of Lakewood Trail asked how all of the residence will be notified of this and is the report going to be put on the Town website?

Supr. Marshall said the report will be on the website and hopes that the BHVA can help get the information to the Florida residents.

December 12, 2016

### VII. NEW BUSINESS: RESOLUTION NO. 37-2016

On a motion made by Councilman Cowley and seconded by Councilman Wohlschlegel, Resolution No. 37-2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

### **SUPPORT OF BOS RESOLUTION #689-2016**

## "RESOLUTION SUPPORTING RESEARCH & FUNDING TO ADDRESS HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS IN THE FINGER LAKES"

WHEREAS, the Ontario County Board of Supervisors has passed Resolution 689-2016, and

WHEREAS, County Resolution 689-2016 is entitled "Resolution supporting Research and Funding to address harmful Algal Blooms in the Finger Lakes," and

WHEREAS, the Town of South Bristol supports the intent of Resolution 689-2016, and WHEREAS, South Bristol has a vested interest in the quality of both Canandaigua and Honeoye Lakes, now therefore be it

RESOLVED, the Town of South Bristol endorses Resolution 689-2016, requesting additional research and funding to address the spread of Harmful Algal Blooms, and

RESOLVED, that copies of this Resolution be sent to the offices of Assemblyman Brian Kolb and Senator Rich Funke.

Supr. Marshall noted that the President of the Canandaigua Lake Watershed Council, Wade Sarkis, asked the Town Board to support the County's resolution. The County Resolution was presented by Supr. Nathan VanBortel of the Town of Richmond requesting additional research and funding for the harmful algae bloom and this summer it took on proportions that became dangerous.

Councilman Cowley said it has been that way for 10 years and is horrible.

Supr. Marshall noted that it is now in Canandaigua Lake which has been serious for the last 2 years and needs to be addressed. This affects the Finger Lakes and many lakes in NYS and read somewhere that 52 ponds that were tested are affected.

### YEAR-END MEETING SCHEDULE 2017 ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING SCHEDULE

On a motion made by Councilwoman Goodwin and seconded by Councilman Strickland, the South Bristol Town Board Year-End Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, December 21, 2016 at 7:00pm, the South Bristol Town Board 2017 Organizational Meeting is scheduled for January 9, 2017 at 7:00pm and the South Bristol Town Board Regular Meeting schedule for January 9, 2017 at 7:00pm was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

# VIII. REPORTS: ASSESSOR

On a motion made by Councilman Cowley and seconded by Councilwoman Goodwin the Assessor's Report for November 2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

## SOUTH BRISTOL TOWN BOARD MEETING December 12, 2016

### **CEO**

On a motion made by Councilman Wohlschlegel and seconded by Councilman Cowley, the CEO Report for November 2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

1.14

### **TOWN CLERK**

On a motion made by Councilman Strickland and seconded by Councilwoman Goodwin the Town Clerk's Report for November 2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

## IX. ACCOUNTING: SUPERVISOR'S REPORT

On a motion made by Councilwoman Goodwin and seconded by Councilman Strickland, the Supervisor's Report for October and November 2016 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

### **BUDGET TRANSFERS**

On a motion made by Councilman Strickland and seconded by Councilman Wohlschlegel, the Budget Transfers were ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

Fransfer From	A1010.441	Town Board – Conf & Training to	
	A1010.4	Town Board – Contr	\$65.62
	A1355.41	Assessor – BAR to	
	A1355.4	Assessor - Contr	\$151.62
	A1650.41	Central Comm System - IT	
	A1650.4	Central Comm - Contr	\$120.00
	A1330.4	Traffic Contr – Stripping & Signage to	
	A5132.4	Garage - Contr.	\$5,828.41
	A8020.441	Planning – Conf/Train to	
	A8020.4	Planning – Contra	\$262.89
	A8010.441	Planning Conf/Train to	
	A8010.4	Zoning – Contr	\$24.77
	A1620.4	Bldg - Contr to	
	A5010.1	Supr of Highway – Pers Serv	\$6,677.56
	DA5142.4	Snow Removal – Contr to	
	DA5130.4	Machinery – Contr	\$15,411.81
	DA9060.8	Hosp & Med Ins	\$3,509.13

December 12, 2016

DA1421.405 Emp Handbook – Dev to
DA5110.4 General Repairs – Contr \$754.89
DA5130.2 Machinery – Equipment \$135.00

### APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS

On a motion made by Councilman Cowley and seconded by Councilman Strickland, Abstract No. 13, Vouchers No. 573-629 totaling \$42,889.97 was ACCEPTED. Voting AYE: 5. Voting NAY: 0. Voting AYE: Marshall, Goodwin, Cowley, Wohlschlegel and Strickland.

## X. 2<sup>nd</sup> PRIVILEGE OF THE FLOOR

Joe Kohler of Bristol Harbor, said at the last meeting we asked the Town and agreed that Mengel, Metzger, Barr would look into a few things; the previous year's financials.

Supr. Marshall said he will be able to get that information to Mr. Kohler this week; this is what he was able to get which is 3-years of financials. The former owners have not been forthcoming. Everything that Mengel, Metzger and Barr had will have available to you to see. Our obligation is to send everything to the Homeowner's Association and Mr. Kohler can see the documents there.

Mr. Kohler said that you were going to ask the forensic accountants to look into the exact amount; that they had a ball-park figure?

Supr. Marshall said he would look that information up for Mr. Kohler.

Wade Sarkis, President of the Canandaigua Lake Watershed Assoc., with regards to your last resolution, the same request you received went to all the Towns in the watershed and the 4-water purveyors from the Lake; you are the first to pass a resolution and thanked the Board for their leadership and good stewardship of the Lake.

XI. ADJOURN: 8:25PM Respectfully submitted:

Judy Voss South Bristol Town Clerk